

JPP 2004, 56: 389–393 © 2004 The Authors Received July 09, 2003 Accepted November 05, 2003 DOI 10.1211/0022357022746 ISSN 0022-3573

Department of Physiology and Pharmacology, Federal University of Ceará, Rua Cel. Nunes de Melo 1127, CEP 60431-270, Fortaleza, Brazil

Silvânia M. M. Vasconcelos, Danielle S. Macedo, Carla Thiciane V. de Melo, Andreisa Paiva Monteiro, Geanne M. A. Cunha, Francisca Cléa F. Sousa, Glauce Socorro B. Viana

Department of Organic Chemistry, Federal University of Ceará, Caixa Postal 12.200, CEP 60021.940, Fortaleza, Brazil

Alexandre César P. Rodrigues, Edilberto R. Silveira

Correspondence: S. Vasconcelos, Department of Physiology and Pharmacology, Faculty of Medicine, Federal University of Ceará. Rua Cel. Nunes de Melo 1127, CEP 60431-270, Fortaleza, Brazil. E-mail: claussil@bol.com.br

Funding: The work had financial support from the Brazilian National Research Council (CNPq).

## Communications

# Central activity of hydroalcoholic extracts from Erythrina velutina and Erythrina mulungu in mice

Silvânia M. M. Vasconcelos, Danielle S. Macedo, Carla Thiciane V. de Melo, Andreisa Paiva Monteiro, Alexandre César P. Rodrigues, Edilberto R. Silveira, Geanne M. A. Cunha, Francisca Cléa F. Sousa and Glauce S. B. Viana

### Abstract

This work studied the central behavioural effects of hydroalcoholic extracts from the stem bark of *Erythrina velutina* and *Erythrina mulungu* on the elevated plus maze, open field, and rota rod tests in mice. These medicinal plants belong to the Fabaceae family and are popularly used in Brazil for their effects on the central nervous system. Single doses of the extracts were administered orally (200, 400 or 800 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) or intraperitoneally (200 or 400 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) to female mice. A reduction of the locomotor activity was observed in the open field test with both hydroalcoholic extracts after intraperitoneal treatment with all doses, but only with the highest dose after oral administration. In addition, oral and intraperitoneal administration of the extracts decreased the incidence of rearing and grooming. Decreases in the number of entries in the open (NEOA) and closed (NECA) arms of the elevated plus maze were observed after the administration of the highest dose (800 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, p.o.) of both hydroalcoholic extracts, and this effect may be due to the decrease in locomotor activity. These hydroalcoholic extracts failed to affect the motor coordination in the rota rod test. In conclusion, we showed that the hydroalcoholic extracts of *E. velutina* and *E. mulungu* have depressant effects on the central nervous system, which, at least partially, corroborates the popular use of these species as tranquilizers in Brazilian popular medicine.

## Introduction

The *Erythrina* genus (Fabaceae) is known worldwide. In Brazil, it includes the species *E. velutina* (widespread in the plains and river banks of the semi-arid regions of Northeast Brazil) and *E. mulungu* (native to Southern Brazil). Plants belonging to the genus *Erythrina* were shown to possess central nervous system (CNS) activity (De Oliveira et al 2000; Onusic et al 2002; Vasconcelos et al 2002). Other works reported that some species, including *E. mulungu* and *E. velutina*, are used in several Brazilian communities to calm agitation, insomnia and others disorders of the CNS (Leite et al 2000; Rodrigues & Carvalho 2001). De Oliveira et al (2000) showed a dose-dependent decrease of locomotor activity after treatment with an aqueous extract from the leaf of *E. velutina*. Their work also suggests the involvement of some bioactive constituent of this species with memory processes.

Chemical fractionation of the stem bark from *E. velutina* (Rabelo et al 2001) gave homohesperetin and phaseollidin. According to these authors, this was the first time that homohesperetin had been isolated from a plant of the Fabaceae family. Phaseollidin has previously been isolated from some species of the genus *Erythrina* (Dagne et al 1993; Tanaka et al 1997), but not from the species *E. velutina*. The phytochemical analysis of the hydroalcoholic extracts from *E. velutina* has allowed, so far, the characterization of 5,7,3'-trihydroxy-5'-prenyl-6-methoxyisoflavanone, phaseolin, a 1:1 mixture of beta sitosterol and stigmasterol, erythrodiol and lupeol, besides phaseollidin (Rodrigues 2003). Furthermore, there are no data in the literature concerning the chemical constituents present in *E. mulungu*. Our previous studies with hydroalcoholic extracts from the stem bark of *E. velutina* and *E. mulungu* showed antinociceptive properties (Vasconcelos et al 2003) and sedation (Vasconcelos et al 2002). The objectives of this study were to compare the effects of the hydroalcoholic extracts from *E. velutina* and *E. mulungu* in three pharmacological tests – plus maze, open field and rota rod (used to detect anxiolytic, locomotor and myorelaxant activity, respectively) – to validate the popular use of these two medicinal plants.

#### **Materials and Methods**

#### Plant materials and extract preparation

Plant materials and extracts were prepared according to the method of Vasconcelos et al (2003).

#### Animals

Male Swiss mice (20–30 g) from the Animal House of the Federal University of Ceará were used throughout the experiments. Mice were maintained in plastic cages, and kept in 30-m<sup>2</sup> rooms with controlled 12-h light–dark cycle, temperature of 25 °C and food and water freely available. Experiments were performed according to the guide for the care and use of laboratory animals, from the US Department of Health and Human Services, Institute of Laboratory Animal Resources, Washington DC, 1985.

#### **Experimental protocol**

Mice were treated with distilled water (controls), diazepam (1 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, i.p., as standard) and hydroalcoholic extracts from *E. velutina* and *E. mulungu* (200, 400 and 800 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, p.o. or 200 and 400 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, i.p.). Thirty minutes after intraperitoneal treatment or 1 h after oral administration, each mouse was submitted to the test. Firstly, the mouse was observed in a noise-free room, at constant temperature ( $23 \pm 1 \,^{\circ}$ C) and poorly illuminated with a 15-V red light. The mouse was then placed inside a plus maze apparatus and observed for 5 min. Immediately after this test, it was placed in the open field area for 5 min. After that, the mouse was removed to the rota rod where it was observed for 1 min.

#### Elevated plus maze test

The plus maze for mice (Lister 1987) consisted of two perpendicular open arms  $(30 \times 5 \text{ cm})$  and two closed arms  $(30 \times 5 \times 25 \text{ cm})$  also in perpendicular position. The open and closed arms were connected by a central platform  $(5 \times 5 \text{ cm})$ . The platform and the lateral walls of the closed arms were made of transparent acrylic. The floor was made of black acrylic. The maze was 45 cm above the floor. Thirty minutes or 1 h after intraperitoneal and oral treatments, respectively, the mouse was placed at the centre of the plus maze with its nose in the direction of one of the closed arms, and observed for 5 min. The parameters observed were: number of entries in the open (NEOA) and closed (NECA) arms, and time of permanence in the open (TPOA) and closed (TPCA) arms. The time of permanence measures the time spent by the mouse in the open and closed arms. Anxiolytic compounds reduce the mouse's natural aversion to the open arms and promote the exploration thereof.

#### Open field test

The open field area was made of acrylic (transparent walls and black floor,  $30 \times 30 \times 15$  cm) divided into nine squares of equal area. The open field was used to evaluate the exploratory activity of the mouse (Archer 1973). The observed parameters were: number of squares crossed (locomotor activity) and number of groomings (number of times the mouse scratched the face with its forepaws) and rearing (number of times the mouse stood completely erect on its hind legs).

#### Rota rod test

The mouse was placed with the four paws on a 2.5-cm diameter bar, 25 cm above the floor, which was turning at  $12 \text{ rev min}^{-1}$ . For each mouse, the number of falls (up to three falls) and the time of permanence on the bar for 1 min were registered (Dunham & Miya 1957).

#### Statistical analyses

All results are presented as mean  $\pm$  s.e.m. Analysis of variance was followed by Dunnett's test as the post-hoc test. Results were considered significant at P < 0.05 or P < 0.01.

#### Results

In the elevated plus maze test (Table 1), acute administration of *E. velutina* and *E. mulungu* extracts decreased NEOA and NECA only at the highest dose ( $800 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$ ) after oral administration. Although no significant alteration was seen in the test after intraperitoneal administration, a tendency to decrease NEOA and NECA values was observed with both doses (200 and 400 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, i. p.). Diazepam (1 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, i.p.), used as positive control, increased NEOA by 94% ( $13.8 \pm 2.1$  s) and TPOA by 197% ( $205.2 \pm 20.0$  s) as compared with controls (NEOA =  $7.1 \pm 1.0$ ; TPOA =  $69.1 \pm 10.1$  s).

A decrease in the locomotor activity was observed after the intraperitoneal treatment with both doses of *E. velutina* (200 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, 37%; 400 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, 32%) (F(2,30) = 5.131; P = 0.0126) and the highest dose of *E. mulungu* (400 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, 35%) (F(3,36) = 3.530; P = 0.0253), as compared with controls (III =  $60.4 \pm 6.7$ ; IV =  $56.3 \pm 5.5$ ). On the other hand, by the oral route this effect was observed only after the treatment with hydroalcoholic extracts at the highest dose (800 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>). A decrease in the number of rearing and groomings was observed after oral and intraperitoneal treatment with *E. velutina* and *E. mulungu* at the doses of 200, 400 and 800 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> as compared with controls. No effect was observed with diazepam, at the dose used, in the

| Group                 | Dose                     | No. entries (n)         |                         | Time spent (s)         |                         |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|
|                       |                          | Open arms               | Closed arms             | Open arms              | Closed arms             |
| Oral route            |                          |                         |                         |                        |                         |
| Control I             | _                        | $6.6 \pm 0.6$ (11)      | $9.6 \pm 0.5$ (11)      | $101.9 \pm 14.2$ (11)  | $176.6 \pm 13.3$ (11)   |
| E. velutina           | $200\mathrm{mgkg^{-1}}$  | $5.0 \pm 0.7$ (11)      | $9.2 \pm 1.2(11)$       | $90.8 \pm 11.7$ (11)   | $195.4 \pm 11.8$ (11)   |
|                       | $400  {\rm mg  kg^{-1}}$ | $6.5 \pm 0.6$ (10)      | $8.1 \pm 0.6$ (10)      | $89.6 \pm 13.2$ (10)   | $155.7 \pm 14.6$ (10)   |
|                       | $800\mathrm{mgkg}^{-1}$  | $3.4 \pm 0.6 (10)^{**}$ | $4.1 \pm 0.7 (10)^{**}$ | $64.5 \pm 13.3$ (10)   | $203.5 \pm 9.7$ (10)    |
| Control II            | _ 000                    | $6.2 \pm 0.6$ (11)      | $9.5 \pm 0.5(11)$       | $101.5 \pm 12.6(11)$   | $172.4 \pm 12.1$ (11)   |
| E. mulungu            | $200\mathrm{mgkg}^{-1}$  | $6.2 \pm 0.5$ (11)      | $8.7 \pm 0.7$ (11)      | $89.7 \pm 6.1$ (11)    | $171.9 \pm 8.4(11)$     |
| 8                     | $400\mathrm{mgkg^{-1}}$  | $5.7 \pm 0.5(10)$       | $7.5 \pm 0.7$ (10)      | $91.4 \pm 11.3$ (10)   | $166.7 \pm 10.6$ (10)   |
|                       | $800  {\rm mg  kg^{-1}}$ | $2.2 \pm 0.3 (9)^{**}$  | $4.2 \pm 0.8 (9)^{**}$  | $64.2 \pm 15.5$ (9)    | $192.7 \pm 14.0$ (9)    |
| Intraperitoneal route |                          |                         |                         |                        |                         |
| Control III           | _                        | $6.2 \pm 0.6$ (10)      | $8.6 \pm 0.5$ (10)      | $74.5 \pm 9.5$ (10)    | $182.3 \pm 11.0$ (10)   |
| E. velutina           | $200  {\rm mg  kg^{-1}}$ | $4.1 \pm 0.6$ (10)      | $6.1 \pm 0.7$ (10)      | $58.8 \pm 8.2$ (10)    | $215.7 \pm 8.9$ (10)    |
|                       | $400\mathrm{mgkg^{-1}}$  | $4.2 \pm 0.6$ (10)      | $6.3 \pm 0.9$ (11)      | $63.6 \pm 9.9$ (10)    | $207.8 \pm 12.0$ (10)   |
| Control IV            |                          | $7.1 \pm 1.0(11)$       | $9.0 \pm 1.0(11)$       | $69.1 \pm 10.1$ (11)   | $202.5 \pm 12.5$ (11)   |
| Diazepam              | $1 \mathrm{mg  kg^{-1}}$ | $13.8 \pm 2.1$ (7)**    | $5.7 \pm 0.5$ (6)       | $205.2 \pm 20.0$ (7)** | $69.7 \pm 6.8 (7)^{**}$ |
| E. mulungu            | $200\mathrm{mgkg^{-1}}$  | $5.8 \pm 1.4$ (11)      | $8.4 \pm 1.0$ (11)      | $75.4 \pm 7.8$ (11)    | $216.2 \pm 18.511$      |
| 0                     | $400  {\rm mg  kg^{-1}}$ | $4.5 \pm 0.6$ (11)      | $6.2 \pm 1.0$ (11)      | $65.0 \pm 7.2$ (11)    | $231.6 \pm 10.8$ (11)   |

Table 1 Effects of hydroalcoholic extracts from *E. velutina* and *E. mulungu* on the elevated plus maze test in mice.

Values are reported as means  $\pm$  s.e.m. for the number of mice shown in parentheses. \*\*P < 0.01 vs controls (analysis of variance and Dunnett's test as the post-hoc test).

 Table 2
 Effects of hydroalcoholic extracts of E. velutina and E. mulungu on the open field test in mice.

| Groups                | Dose                     | Locomotor activity      | Rearing                  | Grooming                |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|
| Oral route            |                          |                         |                          |                         |
| Control               | _                        | 52.6±8.8 (11)           | $24.7 \pm 2.4$ (11)      | $4.0 \pm 0.5$ (11)      |
| E. velutina           | $200\mathrm{mgkg^{-1}}$  | $46.0 \pm 5.1 (11)$     | $13.7 \pm 3.0 \ (11)^*$  | $1.0 \pm 0.3 (11)^{**}$ |
|                       | $400  {\rm mg  kg^{-1}}$ | $49.0 \pm 6.1$ (10)     | $10.7 \pm 2.6 (10)^{**}$ | $1.3 \pm 0.5 (10)^{**}$ |
|                       | $800  {\rm mg  kg^{-1}}$ | $24.8 \pm 3.8$ (8)*     | $9.8 \pm 1.8$ (8)**      | $0.5 \pm 0.1 (10)^{**}$ |
| Control               |                          | $54.3 \pm 3.3$ (10)     | $25.2 \pm 1.9$ (10)      | $4.5 \pm 0.3$ (10)      |
| E. mulungu            | $200\mathrm{mgkg}^{-1}$  | $54.2 \pm 3.8(11)$      | $19.1 \pm 2.7(11)$       | $2.6 \pm 0.3 (11)^{**}$ |
| 0                     | $400\mathrm{mgkg}^{-1}$  | $50.0 \pm 2.1$ (11)     | $12.5 \pm 1.4 (11)^{**}$ | $2.0 \pm 0.1 (11)^{**}$ |
|                       | $800  {\rm mg  kg^{-1}}$ | $21.3 \pm 3.4 (8)^{**}$ | $8.6 \pm 0.9$ (8)**      | $0.7 \pm 0.1$ (8)**     |
| Intraperitoneal route | 0.0                      |                         |                          |                         |
| Control               | _                        | $60.4 \pm 6.7$ (11)     | $33.0 \pm 2.5$ (11)      | $3.3 \pm 0.7$ (11)      |
| E. velutina           | $200\mathrm{mgkg^{-1}}$  | $38.2 \pm 3.9 (9)^{*}$  | $10.6 \pm 1.7 (9)^{**}$  | $1.3 \pm 0.2 (9)^{*}$   |
|                       | $400  {\rm mg  kg^{-1}}$ | $41.2 \pm 4.3 (11)^*$   | $2.2 \pm 0.6 (11)^{**}$  | $0.4 \pm 0.2$ (11)**    |
| Control               |                          | $56.3 \pm 5.5(11)$      | $25.1 \pm 2.7$ (10)      | $4.5 \pm 0.7$ (11)      |
| Diazepam              | $1 \mathrm{mg  kg^{-1}}$ | $55.3 \pm 6.3$ (6)      | $18.6 \pm 2.3$ (6)       | $4.5 \pm 0.9$ (6)       |
| E. mulungu            | $200\mathrm{mgkg^{-1}}$  | $43.0 \pm 4.8$ (11)     | $11.7 \pm 2.9 (10)^{**}$ | $2.3 \pm 0.4 (11)^*$    |
| 0                     | $400\mathrm{mgkg^{-1}}$  | 36.6±2.7 (9)*           | 4.8±1.1 (10)**           | $1.4 \pm 0.4 (11)^{**}$ |

Values are reported as means  $\pm$  s.e.m. for the number of mice shown in parentheses. \*P < 0.05 or \*\*P < 0.01 vs controls (analysis of variance and Dunnett's test as the post-hoc test).

locomotor activity, rearing or grooming as compared with controls (Table 2).

#### Discussion

No alteration was observed in the rota rod test after the treatment with *E. velutina* and *E. mulungu* extracts at the doses and routes used. Similarly, in this test, diazepam was also devoid of effect (Table 3), indicating that none of the drugs tested present myorelaxant properties.

Several species of medicinal plants belonging to the genus *Erythrina* are widely used in Brazil for their effects on the CNS and their antinociceptive activity. Previous work from our laboratory showed antinociceptive (Vasconcelos et al

| Group                 | Dose                              | No. falls            | Time of permanence (s) |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|
| Oral route            |                                   |                      |                        |
| Control I             | _                                 | $1.54 \pm 0.31$ (11) | $55.2 \pm 1.7$ (11)    |
| E. velutina           | $200\mathrm{mgkg^{-1}}$           | $1.09 \pm 0.34$ (11) | $55.7 \pm 1.9(11)$     |
|                       | $400  {\rm mg  kg^{-1}}$          | $1.30 \pm 0.30$ (10) | $53.7 \pm 3.3$ (10)    |
|                       | $800  {\rm mg  kg^{-1}}$          | $1.90 \pm 0.31$ (10) | $50.6 \pm 2.5$ (10)    |
| Control II            | _                                 | $1.81 \pm 0.22$ (11) | $54.5 \pm 1.6(11)$     |
| E. mulungu            | $200\mathrm{mgkg^{-1}}$           | $1.45 \pm 0.36$ (11) | $54.2 \pm 2.0$ (11)    |
| 0                     | $400 \mathrm{mg  kg^{-1}}$        | $1.45 \pm 0.31$ (11) | $53.0 \pm 2.8$ (11)    |
|                       | $800  {\rm mg  kg^{-1}}$          | $2.11 \pm 0.20$ (9)  | $52.5 \pm 1.0$ (9)     |
| Intraperitoneal route | 00                                |                      |                        |
| Control III           | _                                 | $1.90 \pm 0.31$ (11) | $54.1 \pm 1.4$ (11)    |
| E. velutina           | $200\mathrm{mgkg^{-1}}$           | $1.70 \pm 0.33$ (10) | $49.6 \pm 3.9$ (10)    |
|                       | $400  {\rm mg  kg^{-1}}$          | $1.63 \pm 0.38$ (11) | $46.1 \pm 5.8$ (11)    |
| Control IV            | _                                 | $1.72 \pm 0.27$ (11) | $55.3 \pm 1.4$ (11)    |
| Diazepam              | $1 \mathrm{mg}\mathrm{kg}^{-1}$   | $2.28 \pm 0.35$ (7)  | $47.4 \pm 5.1$ (7)     |
| E. mulungu            | $200 \mathrm{mg}\mathrm{kg}^{-1}$ | $1.72 \pm 0.35$ (11) | $52.5 \pm 2.3$ (11)    |
|                       | $400 \mathrm{mg  kg^{-1}}$        | $1.18 \pm 0.37$ (11) | $55.0 \pm 2.1$ (11)    |

**Table 3** Effects of hydroalcoholic extracts of *E. velutina* and *E. mulungu* on the rota rod test in mice.

Values are reported as means  $\pm$  s.e.m. for the number of mice shown in parentheses.

2003) and depressor (Vasconcelos et al 2002) effects with hydroalcoholic extracts prepared from the stem barks of the species *E. velutina* and *E. mulungu*.

In this study, hydroalcoholic extracts from the stem barks of E. velutina and E. mulungu, at two different doses (200 and  $400 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$ , p.o.), did not alter the performance of mice in the plus maze test, suggesting that the extracts, at these doses and route, did not interfere with anxiolytic activity. However, mice treated with 200 and  $400 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$ of both hydroalcoholic extracts by the intraperitoneal route and  $800 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$  by the oral route showed a decrease in the number of entries in the open, as well as in the closed arms. This effect probably occurs because at these doses E. velutina and E. mulungu caused a reduction in locomotor activity. Furthermore, under these conditions no anxiolytic or anxiogenic effects were observed with the extract treatments, since the locomotor activity was impaired after their administration. Diazepam, as expected, reduced the mouse's natural aversion to the open arms, and promoted the maze exploration thereof. Benzodiazepines (such as diazepam) act as anxiolytics (at low doses) and anticonvulsants, producing also sedation and a myorelaxant effect at higher doses (Onaivi et al 1992; Wolffgramm et al 1994).

In a recent work, Onusic et al (2002) showed that acute oral treatment with a water-alcohol extract of inflorescences of *E. mulungu* exerted anxiolytic-like effects in the elevated T-maze and light-dark transition tests, but no alteration was observed in the cat odour test in rats. The discrepancy between this study and ours can be explained by the different tests, as well as animal species used (rats versus mice). Besides, in their study the extracts were prepared from *E. mulungu* inflorescences, which might present a different chemical composition to the plant stem bark.

A decrease in locomotor activity was observed after oral treatment with the hydroalcoholic extracts at the highest dose (800 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>). Intraperitoneally, both doses decreased the locomotor activity, and this effect was more pronounced with *E. velutina* as compared with *E. mulungu*. These results suggest a CNS-depressant action of the extracts from both species. Perez et al (1998) showed that a decrease in spontaneous motor activity resulted from a reduced excitability of the CNS and sedation (Ozturk et al 1996).

A decrease in rearing and grooming as well as in locomotor activity in the open field test of mice treated with the hydroalcoholic extracts confirms the depressant activity of *E. velutina* and *E. mulungu*. It is widely accepted in the literature that rearing is a function of the excitability levels of the CNS (Cunha & Masur 1978). Some works showed that grooming behaviour can be modulated by various neurotransmitters (Moody et al 1988; Traber et al 1988) and dopamine has been particularly important (Drago et al 1999; Serafim & Felicio 2001).

The fact that hydroalcoholic extracts of *E. velutina* and *E. mulungu* did not alter motor coordination in the rota rod test, in the protocol studied, suggests that the actions observed in this work may not be exerted through peripheral neuromuscular blockade, but rather elicited centrally.

#### Conclusions

In conclusion, we showed that mice acutely treated with hydroalcoholic extracts of *E. velutina* and *E. mulungu* present depressant effects on locomotor activity, rearing and grooming, which are dependent upon the doses and routes of administration. These effects probably were not due to peripheral neuromuscular blockade, since there was no alteration in the rota rod test. Also, no anxiolytic effect was observed after acute treatment with the extract from either of the species.

#### References

- Archer, J. (1973) Tests for emotionality in rats and mice: a review. *Anim. Behav.* **21**: 205–235
- Cunha, J. M., Masur, J. (1978) Evaluation of psychotropic drugs with a modified open field test. *Pharmacology* 16: 259–267
- Dagne, E., Gunatilaka, A. A. L., Kingston, D. G. I., Alemu, M., Hofmann, G., Johnson, R. K. (1993) Two bioactive pterocarpans from *Erythrina burana*. J. Nat. Prod. 56: 1831–1834
- De Oliveira, F. S., Dantas, M. C., Antoniolli, A. R., Marchioro, M. (2000) Efeito do extrato aquoso das folhas da *Erythrina velutina* Willd sobre o desempenho de camundongos no teste do campo aberto. XVI Brazilian Medicinal Plants Symposium, Recife-PE, Brazil, p. 232
- Drago, F., Contarino, A., Busa, L. (1999) The expression of neuropeptide-induced excessive grooming behavior in dopamine D1 and D2 receptor-deficient mice. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* 365: 125–131
- Dunham, N. W., Miya, T. S. (1957) A note on a simple apparatus for detecting neurological deficits in rats and mice. J. Am. Pharm. Assoc. 46: 208–212
- Leite, M. N., Oliveira, M. B., Gomes, E. C., Loesh, C. L., Braga, G. H. C. (2000) Avaliação da tintura de *Erythrina mulungu* Mart constituintes do produto cardiosetyl-gotas. XVI Brazilian Medicinal Plants Symposium, Recife-PE, Brazil, p. 184
- Lister, R. G. (1987) The use of a plus-maze to measure anxiety in the mouse. *Psychopharmacology* **92**: 180–185
- Moody, T. W., Merali, Z., Crawley, J. N. (1988) The effects of anxiolytics and other agents on rat grooming behavior. In: Colbern, D. L., Gispen, W. H. (eds) *Neural mechanisms and biological significance of grooming behavior*. Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci., New York, pp 281–290
- Onaivi, E. S., Maguire, P. A., Tsai, N. F., Davies, M. F., Loew, G. H. (1992) Comparison of behavioral and central BDZ binding profile in three rat lines. *Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav.* 43: 825–831
- Onusic, G. M., Nogueira, R. L., Pereira, A. M. S., Viana, M. B. (2002) Effect of acute treatment with a water-alcohol extract of *Erythrina mulungu* on anxiety-related responses in rats. *Braz. J. Med. Biol. Res.* 35: 473–477

- Ozturk, Y., Aydine, S., Baser, K. H. C., Berberoglu, H. (1996) Effects of *Hypericum perforatum* L. and *Hypericum calycinum* L. extracts on the central nervous system in mice. *Phytomedicine* **3**: 139–146
- Perez, R. M., Perez, J. A., Garcia, L. M., Sossa, H. (1998) Neuropharmacological activity of *Solanum nigrum* fruit. *J. Ethnopharmacol.* 62: 43–48
- Rabelo, L. A., de Fatima Agra, M., da-Cunha, E. V., da Silva, M. S., Barbosa-Filho, J. M. (2001) Homohesperetin and phaseollidin from *Erythrina velutina*. *Biochem. Syst. Ecol.* **29**: 543–544
- Rodrigues, A. C. P. (2003) Contribuição ao conhecimento químico e farmacológico de plantas do Nordeste do Brasil: Erythrina velutina Willd. MSc Dissertation, Organic Chemistry Department, Federal University of Ceará, Nov. 2003
- Rodrigues, V. E. G., Carvalho, D. A. (2001) Indicação, parte e preparo de plantas medicinais. In: Rodrigues, V. E. G., Carvalho, D. A. (eds) *Plantas medicinais do cerrado*. UFLA, Lavras, MG, Brasil
- Serafim, A. P., Felicio, L. F. (2001) Dopaminergic modulation of grooming behavior in virgin and pregnant rats. *Braz. J. Med. Biol. Res.* 34: 1465–1470
- Tanaka, H., Tanaka, T., Etoh, H. (1997) Three pterocarpans from *Erythrina crista-galli*. *Phytochemistry* 45: 835–838
- Traber, J., Spencer, D. G., Glaser, T., Gispen, W. H. (1988) Actions of psychoactive drugs on ACTH- and novelty-induced behavior in the rat. In: Colbern, D. L., Gispen, W. H. (eds) *Neural mechanisms and biological significance of grooming behavior*. Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci., New York, pp 270–280
- Vasconcelos, S. M. M., Bastos, M. V. R., Almeida, J., Oliveira, G. R., Cunha, G. M., Fonteles, M. M. F., Sousa, F. C. F., Viana, G. S. B. (2002) Efeitos Centrais da *Erythrina velutina* Willd em camundongos, XVII Brazilian Medicinal Plants Symposium, Cuiabá-MT, Brazil, p.68
- Vasconcelos, S. M. M., Glício, R. O., Carvalho, M. M., Rodrigues, A. C. P., Silveira, E. R., Fonteles, M. M. F., Sousa, F. C. F., Viana, G. S. B. (2003) Antinociceptive activities of the hydroalcoholic extracts from *Erythrina velutina* and *Erythrina mulungu* in mice. *Biol. Pharm. Bull.* 26: 946–949
- Wolffgramm, J., Mikolaiczyk, C., Coper, H. (1994) Acute and subchronic benzodiazepine-barbiturate-interactions on behaviour and physiological responses of the mouse. *Naunyn. Schmiedebergs Arch. Pharmacol.* 349: 279–286